

CHAPTER 2

Comment Number: PC-2-001
Committee Action: Reject Comment

Log Number: 437

Comment on Proposal #: CP-354
Committee Action on Proposal: Approve as Submitted
PPR Page: 30

Submitter: Allan Fraser
Representing: National Fire Protection Association, International
Committee Member Ballot Vote: NEGATIVE with Reason
Desired Action: Disapprove the Proposal

COMMENT: (Negative Reason on Proposals Ballot)

CP's 348-352 and 354 are really one concept packaged in several proposals which purport to "simplify" the standard by deleting the word or words "dwelling" and/or "sleeping" from the labels "dwelling unit(s)" and "sleeping unit(s)". It is my opinion that the opposite is true. By deleting these words, there will be more confusion on the part of the user and enforcer.

The proponents argue that simply using the word "unit(s)" will work, but the fact is that "unit(s)" is used very many times throughout the document and in virtually every other instance it has a pronoun in front of it for clarification.

"Sanitary Napkin Disposal Unit" in 604.3.2, "Air Blowing Unit" in 606.8, "Shower Unit", "Shower Spray Unit" and "Bathtub/Shower Spray Unit" in 607.6 & 608, "telephone unit" in 704.2.1.1 and just plain "unit" in several places in 602.2 & 602.5 & 602.6 & 602.7 not to mention "residential dwelling unit(s)" and "dwelling units" in dozens of other places.

This concept needs much more time and thought put into it and it should be looked at in context of the entire standard before being approved for any part thereof.
(This is NFPA's same Reason for Proposals CP-348 through CP-352, and CP-354)

Committee Action: Reject Comment

Committee Statement: Based on the Supporting Information, the Committee does not accept the Comment. See Committee Action on Comment PC-10-002-E. (This Committee Action applies to Comments PC-1-002, PC-2-001, PC-10-002-E, PC-10-003, PC-10-024 and PC-10-036.)

Ballot Results:

44 Number eligible to vote
43 Accept (Uphold the Committee Action)
0 Disapprove (Disagree with the Committee Action)
0 Abstain (from Voting)
1 Not Returned **AHLA**

Comment Number: PC- 2-002
Committee Action: Reject Comment

Log Number: 486

Comment on Proposal #: New

Committee Action on Proposal:

PPR Page: Section 201

Submitter: Gary L. Alexander

Representing: Self

Committee Member Ballot Vote:

Desired Action: Modify the Proposed Text as Follows

COMMENT: (Public Proposal)

The scoping requirements similar to Chapter 2 in ADAAG are not included. Section 213.3.1 is not included in ANSI A117.1. Is it required?

SUPPORTING INFORMATION:

Section 213.3.1 of the draft final of ADAAG indicates "in addition to the compartment required to comply with 604.8.1, at least one compartment shall comply with 604.8.2 where six or more toilet compartments are provided or where the combination of urinals and water closets total six or more fixtures."

Committee Action: Reject Comment

Committee Statement: The ICC A117.1 Standard does not provide scoping. As shown in Section 201, "the administrative authority shall provide scoping provisions to specify the extent to which..." the technical criteria of A117.1 applies. Thus, scoping is outside the scope of the A117.1 Standard. Scoping is usually provided in the building code adopted by the jurisdiction enforcing the A117.1 Standard. (The Committee directs the Editorial Task Group to consider the comments contained in the Supporting Information of the Comment.)

Ballot Results:

44 Number eligible to vote
43 Accept (Uphold the Committee Action)
0 Disapprove (Disagree with the Committee Action)
0 Abstain (from Voting)
1 Not Returned **AHLA**