CDP FEEDBACK



This Power Point includes the following:

- Feedback received that was presented at the Members Forum at the 2016 Annual Conference.
- Member Forum comments.
- Additional feedback received.
- Next Steps

Comments can be sent to:

ICCCodeProcessFeedback@iccsafe.org



The following are comments received that were presented at the Members Forum at the 2016 Annual Conference



2018/2019 Cycle

- Feedback No. 1: Revise Code Groups
 - A: IBC, IEBC, IFC
 - B: All others
- Feedback No. 2: Revise Code Groups
 - A: IBC (not IBC-S), IFC, IPC, IMC, IFGC, ISPC
 - B: All others
- Eliminate assembly motions
- Implement strict cost impact justifications including staff rejection if adequate information not provided.



2018/2019 Cycle

- Reference Standards updates should be considered by the applicable Code Committee and not the Administrative Code Committee.
- cdpACCESS
 - Make all code changes "public" for viewing during development – code text only (not reason statement or cost impact)
 - Code changes/public comments should be posted 60 days prior to hearings



2021/2022 Cycle – REVISED PROCESS NO. 1 3 year process

- Year 1: Two Committee Action Hearings (CAH)
 - Submit Group A code changes
 - First CAH to consider code changes
 - Submit public comments in response to first CAH results
 - Second CAH to consider public comments
- Year 2: Repeat for Group B codes
- Year 3: Group A & B Public Comment Hearing and OGCV
 - Submit public comments for Groups A & B
 - Public Comment Hearing for Groups A & B
 - OGCV for Groups A & B



2021/2022 Cycle – REVISED PROCESS NO. 2 2 year process: Committee meeting format; not a hearing format

- Year 1: Group A codes
 - Submit Group A code changes
 - Hold multiple 3 day Committee Action Meetings (CAM)
 - Submit public comments in response to CAM results
 - Hold multiple 2.5 day Committee Public Comment Meetings
 - Committee acts on public comments
 - Committee action sets the agenda for the OGCV
 - OGCV for Group A
- Year 2: Repeat for Group B codes



The following are comments made at the Members Forum at the 2016 Annual Conference



- The hearing videos from both the CAH and PCH should be free, not an ICC subscription service.
- ICC should conduct regional cdpACCESS "how to" forums to educate the Members on the use of cdpACCESS.

Additional Feedback — 2018/2019 Cycle



The following is additional feedback received to date relative to the 2018/2019 Cycle



cdpACCESS

- Continue to enhance/de-bug the cdpACCESS system. Particularly numbered lists and tables.
- Add an export function to create a spreadsheet to track proposals.
- Provide print feature for voting members to record their votes.
- Provide the ability to cut and paste from Word docs into cdpACCESS.

Additional Feedback – 2018/2019



- cdpACCESS (cont'd)
 - Revise on-line voting process:
 - Assembly motion: Ability to block vote to support all committee recommendations
 - OGCV: Ability to block vote to support all the Public Comment Hearing actions
 - Ability to vote on select code changes and block vote the remaining as noted above



- Need more restrictions on Code Development Committee balance. Limit single stakeholder participation. Ensure broad interests represented.
- Create process to expedite hearings with groupings of proposals.
- Hearings are too long, need to streamline the process.
- Process needs to be more responsive to building performance issues, with experts making the final decisions.



Discontinue the OGCV: The OGCV is well intentioned to increase participation, however:

- The PCH participants loose the ability to collaborate and make good decisions on the final outcome as the PCH is not the last step in the process.
- Two voting opportunities may result in unintended consequences of conflicting code text.
- The OGCV may increase additional lobbying opportunities.
- The OGCV process may result in uninformed members voting if they do not view the PCH videos.
- May result in reduction in PCH attendance.



The following is additional feedback received to date relative to the 2021/2022 Cycle



- Re-institute Group C and place the IECC and IRC – Energy in Group C
- Expand cycle from 3 years to 5 7 years



Code Development Committees (Developed in conjunction with 2021/2022 Revised Process No. 2 (see slide 6)

- 6 year appointments; staggered
- Minimum 50% Regulators
- An action of "further study" is permitted at the Committee Action Meeting. These code changes would be sent to the applicable Code Action Committee.



Code Action Committees (Developed in conjunction with 2021/2022 Revised Process No. 2 (see slide 6))

- 6 year appointments; staggered
- Minimum 50% Regulators
- Establish Goals & Objectives
- Review further study items from the Code Development Committees.



2021/2022 Cycle - REVISED PROCESS NO. 3

- Year 1: Two Group A Committee Action Hearings (CAH)
 - First: Consider code changes, tabling allowed
 - Second: Consider tabled items
- Year 2:
 - Group A Public Comment Hearings (PCH)
 - Group A OGCV
 - First Group B CAH
- Year 3:
 - Second Group B CAH
 - Group B PCH
 - Group B OGCV

NEXT STEPS



- November 30th: Feedback received will be included in Preliminary Report.
- December/2016: Board meets to review Preliminary Report for 2018/2019 time sensitive issues which require early membership notification in 2017.
- February 15, 2017: Feedback deadline.
- Feedback received will be posted for comments.
 Timeframe TBD.
- Develop Final Report.
- May/2017: Board meets to review Final Report.



QUESTIONS?

Contact Mike Pfeiffer at:

mpfeiffer@iccsafe.org