AGENDA

1. Call to Order – 8:33 am CT on February 25, 2016
   a. Autumn Hartsoe, CC Chair, requested that Michelle Porter call roll. CC members and ICC staff were present as noted above, with Jeremy Searfoss as the only absent member.
   b. Quorum was established.
   c. The agenda was approved as submitted.
      i. Motion. Second. Pass unanimous.
   d. Ms. Porter presented general housekeeping items regarding travel and meals to the group.

2. Previous Minutes
   a. The minutes from November 5, 2015, were approved as-is.
      i. Motion. Second. Pass unanimous.

3. Unfinished Business
   a. Requests for expansion of the new reinstatement program were discussed, with a note that no formal requests have been brought forward except for one for state certification reciprocity from Gilbert Gonzales – BOD liaison. Ms. Porter noted that reciprocity requests entail reviewing the entire programs with which to be reciprocal, including content, and that accrediting bodies generally prohibit this type of reciprocal agreement. Gilbert Gonzales noted that the.
After lengthy discussion, a motion was made to let the new reinstatement program mature, then revisit the idea of state certification reciprocity at a later time.

Motion. Second. Pass unanimous.

The request to lower reinstatement fees was discussed, with Mr. Gonzales stating that it would be very difficult to determine where the lines were. Ms. Porter replied to Cindy Davis’ question about ICC certification reimbursement falling under the GI Bill that yes, ICC certifications are currently eligible for reimbursement. The CC determined that no action will be taken at this time relative to lowering or removing fees.

A request to offer reciprocity for exams in the ) was discussed. Hakim Bayyoud noted that looking at vs. state certifications is very different, as the is a standard set of exams with a specific, national set of rules all certificants follow. Ms. Davis made a motion that staff have a dialogue with individuals on the to obtain more information, and bring this back to the CC.

Motion. Second. Pass unanimous.

STAFF ACTION: Follow up with John Delesandro and Cindy Davis for contact information.

b. Ms. Porter presented an overview of the current status of updates to the ICC Certification Program Standards and CC Rules of Procedure, with a note that review of the Standards is a longer-term project that will continue throughout 2016. The following edits to the Rules of Procedure were made, with a request for Ms. Porter to incorporate the changes and send back to the CC for review and final approval:

4A. Revise language to state that the CC is in charge of prerequisites, and that any exam prerequisite information will be published and available to candidates.
4C. Ms. Porter to check with ICC Legal.
5E. Ms. Porter explained to the CC that allowing individuals to re-test in lieu of following the renewal program requirements Ms. Porter was directed to leave the first sentence as-is, but to spell out the option of re-testing in the renewal section. The last sentence on requirement violations is to be removed, and Ms. Porter to check with ICC Legal.
5F. Remove entire bullet point language; obsolete now that exams are available on multiple code years.
7A. Discussed, but no action to be taken.
9A. Revise to add “documented evidence of inappropriate behavior.”
12. Remove second paragraph and bullet points; information will be requested by the Board on an as-needed basis.

c. The move of the Disaster Response Inspector certification to an assessment-based certificate program managed by ICC Training and Education was discussed, with Ms. Porter providing background on the history and expenses to maintain the certification. The larger issue of moving low-volume exams to certificate programs was talked about at length, and it was noted that while creating a certificate is good for specialty topics, acceptance and use by jurisdictions are the driving factors in whether the program will be successful. Ms. Porter noted that the price of the
assessment tied to the training course (completion of course + passing assessment = certificate). It was also emphasized that the certificate should have an expiration date, such that an individual would need to re-take the course on a set schedule to maintain the certificate.

Tracy Lendi, Vice-president of ICC Training and Education, joined the meeting briefly. Ms. Davis raised the question as to how to determine a specific number of hours required for a certificate, when some courses might be a few hours long and others an entire semester. Dr. Lendi noted that as long as the course is covering the same material and using the same assessment, an instructor or institution can require a varied number of hours to cover the content.

Ms. Davis made a motion that the Disaster Response Inspector exam be sunsetted and a new Disaster Response certificate be established as outlined by staff, with a renewal provision to be included in the makeup of the certificate.

Motion. Second. Pass unanimous.


e. The status of the requests for proposal both currently out (for internet-based testing) and upcoming was also provided by Ms. Porter. Proposals are due for the first request by March 30, 2016.

4. New Business

a. Changes of employment for Exam Development Committee members were approved as documented in the February 25, 2016, agenda.

b. Several requests for changes to the continuing education policy for renewal of certifications were discussed.

Ken Morong presented background on his request that Special Inspectors be able to use passing an ICC or other Special Inspector exam for continuing educational units (CEUs); i.e., moving renewal option #7 under the Part 1 CEUs. His concern is that Special Inspector certificants have very few options currently to obtain the Part 1 CEUs required by ICC renewal policy.

STAFF ACTION: Contact ICC T&E toward reaching out to associations with Special Inspector training.

A request from the to weight CEUs for in-person training more heavily than online training was discussed. A motion was made for Ms. Porter to reply to the that careful consideration had been discussed at length before the CEU rules were implemented, and that no changes are recommended at this time.

Motion. Second. Pass unanimous.

STAFF ACTION: Reply to with directed language above.
A request from [redacted] to increase the CEUs given for passing an exam was considered, with staff directed to reply to Mr. [redacted] in the same manner as to [redacted]

Motion. Second. Pass unanimous.

Mr. [redacted] also commented in his letter about having issues with the quality of the electronic plans within his exam. Ms. Porter relayed that plan sets are continuously being reviewed and changed out for newer versions for the best candidate testing experience possible.

**STAFF ACTION: Reply to Mr. [redacted] with directed language above.**

c. Ms. Porter recommended the addition of the word “approved” to options #3 and #4 of the renewal program, to clarify that only approved courses from Preferred Providers are eligible for Part 1 CEUs. A motion was made to add the word as noted.

    Motion. Second. Pass unanimous.

d. A change to the CC Rule about which references are allowed in test sites was recommended by Ms. Porter. This change would allow candidates to take any bound, copyrighted books into a test site, rather than the currently allowed listed references only. Ms. Porter noted that most other certification programs allow any books, if they are open-book at all, and that disclaimers would be made in the bulletins that test questions are only from the listed references. Also, challenges would not be allowed on non-approved references. A concern about complaints from candidates challenging questions from non-listed references was raised, as well as a concern about candidate perception of the CC changing rules midstream. Ms. Davis emphasized the importance of publicizing this change, as well as the reasons for it, including speeding up the check-in process prior to testing. A motion was made to approve Ms. Porter’s recommendation.

    Motion. Second. Pass unanimous.

e. [redacted] request for the CC to create a hierarchy of ICC certifications and combination designations was reviewed, with staff instructed to respond to Ms. [redacted] with information on the reinstatement program.

**STAFF ACTION: Reply to Ms. [redacted] with reinstatement information.**

5. Future meeting dates and locations will be discussed with the full Professional Development Council in the meeting on February 26, 2016.

6. There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 3:14 p.m. CT.

    i. Motion. Second. Pass unanimous