

Members of the 2019 Group B Appeals Board, Mr. Wheeler, and Mr. Sims,

Many voices had the opportunity to participate in the 2021 IECC voting process for the first time this year. We were relieved to read in April that the challenges were not upheld by the Validation Committee and review of the ICC Board of Directors. We recently learned these same challenges have been resurfaced as appeals. We, members of the Edwardsville Cool Cities Committee, are writing today to encourage you to uphold the outcomes of this voting process.

We'd like to address three main areas of the appeals:

1. **The attempt to overturn the online vote:** Voting representatives followed the rules laid out by the ICC in the voting process, and in doing so, it seems did overturn some proposals that were disapproved at the committee level and at the hearings in Las Vegas. The online votes vastly outweighed the number of votes on the committee or in the room at the public comment hearings. These votes were among those moving the IECC forward to meet the needs of our community. The voters took into consideration the opinion of the committee, the written proposals and public comments, and the video testimony, and believed that for our jurisdiction, the proposal met our needs. Our needs may be different than those represented by the committee or the members in Las Vegas, but that does not make our needs less valid.
2. **The attempts to remove electrification measures from the code:** We heard at various points in the hearings testimony that the IECC may not be the right place for these measures, but following the rules that were put before us we chose, as many did, to disagree. We know that to meet the needs of our city, electrification is a critical piece of energy planning and conservation to meet our climate goals. Our actions were informed and deliberate – to help us move our energy codes forward, measures that help us plan for electrification must be included.
3. **The attacks on our credentials to be voters:** City staff members put significant effort into following the ICC guidelines to become members, register governmental voting representatives, engage in understanding the proposals, and vote using the cdp Access tool. Given limited budgets for travel to participate in multiple iterations of the code development process, the open voting period was an excellent opportunity for staff to be engaged in this process. We believe it is of great benefit to have a larger percentage of eligible voting members participate in the code development process. We believe they followed the rules to become members, and that our voters are informed and knowledgeable on the

issues put in front of them and the needs of our city. The attack on our understanding of what we voted on without knowing our voters is unfounded.

Thank you to you and your staff for maintaining the integrity of this system. I encourage you, again, to uphold these voting results. Thank you for your consideration.

Rachel Tompkins, Chair
Edwardsville Cool Cities Committee
Initiative Advisory Committee

Brigham Dimick, Member
Alexander James, Member