

IAPMO stated in its public response to ICC's lawsuit that it amended its process for creating evaluation reports and has re-written some of the offending reports. The revised versions of several evaluation reports continue to make substantial unauthorized use of ICC-ES material, and attempt to cover up the matter by simply placing quotations around the ICC-ES language. The following is an excerpt from a revised IAPMO evaluation report (ER-377) that quotes ICC-ES Acceptance Criteria 51 extensively:

## 2.0 LIMITATIONS

Use of Glen-Gery Landmark Stone Manufactured Stone Veneer recognized in this report is subject to the following:

2.1 "Expansion or control joints used to limit the effect of differential movement of precast stone veneer supports must be specified by the architect, designer or veneer manufacturer, in that order. Consideration must be given to movement caused by temperature changes, shrinkage, creep and deflection." [AC51]

2.2 "For installation in accordance with the IBC, supporting wall construction must be designed to support the weight of the veneer system. Horizontal framing members, such as lintels and headers, which support precast stone veneer, must be designed to limit deflection to  $\frac{1}{600}$  of the span." [AC51]

2.3 "In jurisdictions adopting the IRC, where the seismic provisions of Section R301.2.2 apply, the average weight of the wall supporting the precast stone veneer, including the weight of the veneer system, must be determined. When this weight exceeds the applicable limits of IRC Section 301.2.2.2.1, an engineered design of the wall construction must be performed in accordance with IRC Section R301.1.3." [AC51]