## Mike Pfeiffer

From:

George Schluter < gschluter800@gmail.com>

Sent:

Thursday, August 13, 2020 2:58 PM

To:

Mike Pfeiffer

Subject:

appeal of energy code, 2021

Mike, I would like to submit comments regarding the appeals of NAHB and Leading Builders of America.

- 1. The requirement for auto charging stations should not be in the Energy Code, but in the National Electrical Code, which is NOT programated by ICC, but by the National Fire Protection Association. I am not aware of any place in the IRC that the IRC governs parking places on single family or multi-family homes.
- 2. The requirement for providing future potential electrical connections when gas appliances such as water heaters, gas ranges, gas clothes dryers is economically against one of the basic assumptions of the IRC, that is that the code is based on "affordable". In my part of the country and many other parts of the nation gas is a logical cost effective way to provide water heating, cooking, and drying of clothes. If we are required to provide something that in all likelihood will not be used by the homeowner, we will be increasing the cost to buy such home by over \$2000 per home. Besides the cost to add the additional breakers and wiring it in most likelihood will require the addition of another 200amp service panel. Also, many subdivision electric transformer capacity has not been sized to handle the additional load that would be placed on the electric distribution system. This could lead to brownouts or black outs of entire subdivisions.
- 3. Due Process--The ICC did not provide or post many of the names of "governmental officials" that the ICC deemed were eligible to vote as governmental members, nor did the ICC properly vent some of the "government members" to determine that they did have a direct role in adopting and enforcing the proposed energy code for both single family homes and apartments or condos.

Thank you, please pass this information on to the appeals panel.

George W Schluter, member #8163787